

# Approximative solution of the spin free Hamiltonian involving only scalar potential for the $q - \bar{q}$ system

Yoon Seok Choun<sup>1, a)</sup> and Sultan Catto<sup>1, 2, b)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>*Physics Department*

*The Graduate School and University Center*

*The City University of New York*

*New York, NY 10010*

<sup>2)</sup>*The Rockefeller University*

*1230 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021-6399*

(Dated: 13 March 2013)

In an earlier paper we showed development of a bilocal baryon-meson field from two quark-antiquark fields by Catto *et al.* [Acta Polytechnica **51**(1), (2011)] In the local approximation the hadron field was shown to exhibit supersymmetry which was then extended to hadronic mother trajectories and to inclusion of multiquark states. The Hamiltonian in the case of vanishing quark masses was shown to have a very good agreement with experiments. The theory for vanishing mass was solved using confluent hypergeometric functions. In order to solve the spin-free Hamiltonian with light quark masses we are led to develop a totally new kind of special function theory in mathematics that generalize all existing theories of confluent hypergeometric types. We call it the Grand Confluent Hypergeometric Function. Our new solution produces previously unknown extra hidden quantum numbers relevant for description of supersymmetry and for generating new mass formulas.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Hq, 02.30.Gp, 12.39.Ki, 12.39.Pn, 11.30.Pb 12.60.Jv, 21.60.fw

Keywords: Three-term recurrence formula, Supersymmetry, relativistic quark model

---

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: ychoun@gc.cuny.edu, ychoun@gmail.com

<sup>b)</sup>Electronic mail: scatto@baruch.cuny.edu, scatto@gc.cuny.edu

## I. INTRODUCTION

The subject of special functions has a long and varied history. At the core of special functions lie the Gaussian hypergeometric function and its confluent forms, the confluent hypergeometric functions. The slight modification of the confluent hypergeometric functions are also known as Whittaker functions.

The confluent hypergeometric functions include as special cases Bessel functions, parabolic cylinder functions, Coulomb wave functions, and incomplete gamma functions. Numerous properties of confluent hypergeometric functions flow directly from a knowledge of the Gaussian hypergeometric function, and a basic understanding of the Gaussian and the confluent hypergeometric functions is sufficient for the derivation of many characteristics of all the above named functions. A natural generalization of the Gaussian hypergeometric function is the generalized hypergeometric function, which in turn is generalized by Meijer's G-function. The theory of the generalized and the G-function is fundamental in the applications, since they contain as special cases all commonly used functions of mathematical analysis. Further, these functions are the building blocks for many other functions which do not belong to the hypergeometric family.

Recently, taking our lead from the exact solution of a supersymmetric differential equation<sup>4</sup> describing quark-antiquark potential models and their relation to the multi-quark systems, namely quark-diquark system and associated potential models (diquark is a pair of quarks formed as a point-like particle at one end of a baryon bag) in the description of relating the baryon masses to the meson masses, we are led into a discovery of a new kind of special function theory that generalizes the confluent hypergeometric series that we call Grand Confluent Hypergeometric functions, and show generation of a class of exact solutions that may be used in many areas of physics and mathematics and most importantly for our own purposes, the supersymmetric particle theories.

The supersymmetric theory we developed earlier<sup>1,2</sup> based on supergroups of the type  $SU(m/n)$  relates baryon masses and meson masses to each other with noteworthy accuracy, but also predicts multi-quark states that have been observed experimentally, (such as  $a_0$  and  $f_0$  mesons made up of diquark-antidiquark pairs) with significant accuracy. In the process we have also developed an algebra of color<sup>6</sup> based on the units of highest division algebras, that of octonions that we call “split octonion algebra” that produces the correct

color degrees of freedom for quark systems. Split octonion algebra based on the complexification of the octonionic units is a closed Heisenberg algebra whose properties have been described in some of our earlier papers<sup>3,5</sup>.

The notion of the solution having to give rise to an extra degree of a quantum number we designate as “ $i^{th}$  kind of hidden radial quantum number” that will be expressed below, makes the theory especially applicable to all supersymmetric theories in nature. Indeed, it has an infinite number of eigenvalues, and each one has infinite eigennumbers. For example a hydrogen like atom wave function, only has one eigenvalue and has infinite eigennumbers. As we see in Regge trajectory plot of angular momentum vs. square of mass (J vs.  $m^2$ ), there are many linearly increasing lines with same slopes including bunch of eigenvalues corresponding to fermions and bosons. It is not clear what the meaning of many eigenvalues are. With our analysis, it will be explained below: Look at (28a)-(29). The formalism we develop in this paper is very general in scope, as it unifies all the special functions mentioned above in mathematical physics. It has a remarkable range of applications in mathematics and other sciences, as well as large range of applicability in all sorts of engineering problems.

There is the spin free hamiltonian involving only scalar potential for the  $q - \bar{q}$  system:<sup>4,7</sup>

$$H^2 = 4[(m + \frac{1}{2}br)^2 + P_r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}] \quad (1)$$

where  $P_r^2 = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{2}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ ,  $m$ = mass,  $b$ = real positive, and  $l$ = angular momentum quantum number. If the mass  $m$  is negligible because of its extremely small value. (1) turns to be

$$H^2 = 4[\frac{1}{4}b^2r^2 + P_r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}] \quad (2)$$

When wave function  $\psi(r) = e^{-\frac{b}{4}r^2}r^l F(r)$  acts on both sides of (2), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial r^2} + \left(\frac{2(l+1)}{r} - br\right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial r} + \left(\frac{E^2}{4} - \left(l + \frac{2}{3}\right)b\right) F = 0 \quad (3)$$

Let  $z = \frac{1}{2}br^2$  then, (3) becomes

$$z \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial z^2} + (\gamma - z) \frac{\partial F}{\partial z} - \alpha_0 F(z) = 0 \quad (4)$$

where  $\gamma = l + \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\alpha_0 = -\frac{1}{2b} \left(\frac{E^2}{4} - \left(l + \frac{3}{2}\right)b\right)$ . We see (4) is equivalent to confluent hypergeometric equation. Also,  $\alpha_0$  must be zero or a negative integer. If it doesn't, polynomial  $F(z)$  will blows up as  $z$  and  $n$  goes to infinity. Physically, we are not interested in this kind of situation. The function  $F(z)$  must to be finite. Let's set  $\alpha_0 = 1 - n_0$

where  $n_0$  (called primary radial quantum number)  $= 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then, its eigenvalue is  $E^2 = 4b [2|\alpha_0| + (l + \frac{3}{2})]$  where  $|\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1$ . Also, the normalized wave function by using orthogonal relation is

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = \sqrt{\frac{(2b)^{l+\frac{3}{2}}(l+1)!(l+\frac{1}{2})!}{(2l+2)!|\alpha_0|!(l+|\alpha_0|+\frac{1}{2})!\sqrt{\pi}}} r^l e^{-\frac{1}{4}br^2} F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi) \quad (5)$$

Now let's define normalized wave function including the small mass  $m$ . When wave function  $\psi(r) = e^{-\frac{b}{4}(r+\frac{2m}{b})^2} r^l y(r) Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi)$  acts on both sides of (1), it becomes

$$r \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial r^2} + (-br^2 - 2mr + 2(l+1)) \frac{\partial y}{\partial r} + (Qr - 2m(l+1)) y = 0 \quad (6)$$

where

$$Q = \frac{E^2}{4} - b \left( l + \frac{2}{3} \right) \quad (7)$$

By using the function  $y(r)$  as Frobinous series in (6), we have two indicial roots which are  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = -2l - 1$ . Recurrence formula for all  $n$  is

$$K_n = A_n + \frac{B_n}{K_{n-1}} \begin{cases} K_n = \frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n} \\ K_{n-1} = \frac{C_n}{C_{n-1}} \\ A_n = \frac{2m(n+\lambda+l+1)}{(n+\lambda+1)(n+\lambda+2(l+1))} \\ B_n = \frac{-Q+b(n+\lambda-1)}{(n+\lambda+1)(n+\lambda+2(l+1))} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Let's investigate function  $y(r)$  as  $n$  and  $r$  go to infinity. As  $n \gg 1$ , (8) is

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} K_n = \frac{2m}{n} + \frac{b/n}{\lim_{n \gg 1} K_{n-1}} \quad (9)$$

We see the first term of r.h.s. in (9) is negligible, since mass  $m$  is extremely small and  $n$  is too large, respectively. Then, (9) is approximately equal to

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} K_n \simeq \frac{b/n}{\lim_{n \gg 1} K_{n-1}} \quad (10)$$

We can classify  $C_n$  to its even and odd parts from (10) by using  $K_n = \frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n}$  and  $K_{n-1} = \frac{C_n}{C_{n-1}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 & & C_1 &= mC_0 \\
C_2 &= bC_0 & C_3 &= \frac{b}{2}mC_0 \\
C_4 &= \frac{1}{1.3}b^2C_0 & C_5 &= \frac{b^2}{2^2 2!}mC_0 \\
C_6 &= \frac{1}{1.3.5}b^3C_0 & C_7 &= \frac{b^3}{2^3 3!}mC_0 \\
C_8 &= \frac{1}{1.3.5.7}b^4C_0 & C_9 &= \frac{b^4}{2^4 4!}mC_0 \\
C_{10} &= \frac{1}{1.3.5.7.9}b^5C_0 & C_{11} &= \frac{b^5}{2^5 5!}mC_0 \\
&\vdots & &\vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From (11) suggesting  $C_0 = 1$ , the function  $y(r)$  approximately is

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} y(r) \simeq \sum_n \frac{2^{2n} n!}{(2n)!} \left( \frac{1}{2} br^2 \right)^n + mr \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \left( \frac{1}{2} br^2 \right)^n > (1 + mr) e^{\frac{1}{2} br^2} \tag{12}$$

It is unacceptable that wave function  $\psi(r, \theta, \phi)$  is divergent as  $r$  goes to infinity from the quantum mechanical point of view. As  $r$  is extremely large value, the big polynomial of degree  $n$  will take a dominant position. Substitute (12) into the wave function which gives  $\psi(r, \theta, \phi) = N e^{-\frac{b}{4}(r + \frac{2m}{b})^2} r^l y(r) Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi)$ ;  $N$  is normalized constant.

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \gg 1} \psi(r, \theta, \phi) &> N r^l e^{-\frac{b}{4}(r + \frac{2m}{b})^2} (1 + mr) e^{\frac{1}{2} br^2} Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi) \\
&\approx N (1 + mr) r^l e^{\frac{1}{4} br^2} Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi)
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Even if the mass  $m$  is extremely small, the wave function  $\psi(r, \theta, \phi)$  will blows up as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then it yields

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ r \rightarrow \infty}} \psi(r, \theta, \phi) > \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} N (1 + mr) r^l e^{\frac{1}{4} br^2} Y_l^{m*}(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow \infty \tag{14}$$

All wave functions must to go to zero as  $r$  goes to infinity from a quantum mechanical perspective. The first and second term of  $y(r)$  must also be terminated to become a polynomial of degree in this case. As we see in (12), the first term indicates even term of  $C_n$ , and the second term of it has odd term of  $C_n$ ; if  $y(r)$  is not terminated at certain value of  $n$ , it will blow up as  $r$  goes to infinity. This is the reason why we argue that  $y(r)$  must be terminated to become a polynomial of degree  $n$ . Now, let's try to define the first kind of independent solution as  $\lambda_1 = 0$ :

As  $\lambda_1 = 0$ ,

$$A_n|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{2(n+l+1)m}{(n+1)(n+2(l+1))} \quad (15a)$$

$$B_n|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{-Q + b(n-1)}{(n+1)(n+2(l+1))} \quad (15b)$$

We define  $B_{i,j,k,l}$  referring to  $B_i B_j B_k B_l$ . We can classify  $C_n$  to its even and odd parts up to the first order of small mass  $m$  from (8), (15a) and (15b). .

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 & & C_1 &= C_0 A_0 \\ C_2 &= C_0 B_1 & C_3 &= C_0 (A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_1) \\ C_4 &= C_0 B_{1,3} & C_5 &= C_0 (A_0 B_{2,4} + A_2 B_{1,4} + A_4 B_{1,3}) \\ C_6 &= C_0 B_{1,3,5} & C_7 &= C_0 (A_0 B_{2,4,6} + A_2 B_{1,4,6} + A_4 B_{1,3,6} + A_6 B_{1,3,5}) \\ C_8 &= C_0 B_{1,3,5,7} & C_9 &= C_0 (A_0 B_{2,4,6,8} + A_2 B_{1,4,6,8} + A_4 B_{1,3,6,8} + A_6 B_{1,3,5,8} + A_8 B_{1,3,5,7}) \\ C_{10} &= C_0 B_{1,3,5,7,9} & C_{11} &= C_0 (A_0 B_{2,4,6,8,10} + A_2 B_{1,4,6,8,10} + A_4 B_{1,3,6,8,10} \\ & & & + A_6 B_{1,3,5,8,10} + A_8 B_{1,3,5,7,10} + A_{10} B_{1,3,5,7,9}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

As we describe  $y(r)$  as a power series by using (16),

$$\begin{aligned} y(r) &= y(r)_{domin.} + y(r)_{small} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n} r^{2n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1} r^{2n+1} \\ &= C_0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{2k+1} r^{2n} \right\} + C_0 \left\{ A_0 r + (A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_1) r^3 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A_0 \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( A_{2j} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} B_{2k+1} \right) + A_{2n} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+1} \right] r^{2n+1} \right\} \quad (17) \end{aligned}$$

We choose one of  $B_{2k+1}$  to be zero, where  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  in order to make a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $y(r)_{domin.}$  of (16) . In other words, we might choose  $Q = 2b(n_0 - 1)$  where  $n_0 = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  in (15b). Then  $B_{2k+1}$  will be zero at certain value of  $k$ . However, since  $y(r)_{small}$  in (17); look at the odd term of  $C_n$  in (16), it has combinations of  $B_{2k+2}$  and  $B_{2k+1}$  for every each of the odd term of  $C_n$ . It means that one of each of  $B_{2k+2}$  and  $B_{2k+1}$  terms must be zero at same time. In other words,  $Q = 2b(n_0 - 1)$  and  $Q = b(2n_1 - 1)$  where  $n_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$  must be satisfied in this series spontaneously. We call  $n_0$  as primary radial quantum number and  $n_1$  as the first kind of hidden radial quantum number. Then

$y(r)$  will be a polynomial degree of  $n$ .  $y(r)$  consists of two terms which are  $y(r)_{\text{domin.}}$  and  $y(r)_{\text{small}}$  in (17). The dominant wave function  $y(r)_{\text{domin.}}$  which does not include small mass  $m$  must be terminated to become a polynomial of degree  $n$  in this case. But the  $y(r)_{\text{small}}$  is extremely small wave function because it includes  $A_n|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{2(n+l+1)m}{(n+1)(n+2(l+1))}$  which has small mass  $m$ . Also (7) is equivalent to  $2b(n_0 - 1)$  and  $b(2n_1 - 1)$  at same time. And in this article Pochhammer symbol  $(x)_n$  is used to represent the rising factorial:  $(x)_n = \frac{\Gamma(x+n)}{\Gamma(x)}$ .

For simplicity, plugging  $C_0 = \frac{(l+n_0-\frac{1}{2})!}{(l+\frac{1}{2})!}$  in (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} y(r) &= \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( |\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1, |\alpha_1| = n_1 - 1, \gamma = l + \frac{3}{2}; z = \frac{1}{2}br^2 \right) \\ &= F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) + mr \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) \quad \text{only if } |\alpha_0| \leq |\alpha_1| \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where,

$$F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} z^n \quad (19a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma + n - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2})} \mathcal{T}(s, t, p, u) \left( w_1 \partial_{w_1} + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \right) F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; w_1) \end{aligned} \quad (19b)$$

And,

$$B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2}) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1) \Gamma(1/2)}{\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 3/2)} \quad (20a)$$

$$w_1 = \frac{z u s t}{(1 - u)} (1 - p^2) \quad (20b)$$

in the above,  $\mathcal{T}(s, t, p, u)$  is the operator which acts on

$$\mathcal{T}(s, t, p, u) = \int_0^\infty ds s^{-1/2} (1 + s)^{-(|\alpha_1|+3/2)} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-3/2} \int_{-1}^1 dp \oint du \frac{e^{-\frac{zu(1-t)}{(1-u)}(1-p^2)}}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \quad (21)$$

We see in (19a), it is the first kind of confluent hypergeometric polynomial of degree  $|\alpha_0|$  surprisingly. (18) denoted as  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( |\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1, |\alpha_1| = n_1 - 1, \gamma = l + \frac{3}{2}; z = \frac{1}{2}br^2 \right)$  is called as the first kind of Grand confluent hypergeometric polynomial of degree  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1|$  with the first order  $m$ .

Also, we obtain two eigenvalues which are  $E_0^2 = 4b \left\{ l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right\}$  and  $E_1^2 = 4b \left\{ l + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right\}$ . The former is called as primary radial eigenvalue. And the latter is called as the first kind of hidden radial eigenvalue. As we let the small mass  $m$  goes to zero in (18), its solution is

same as the 1<sup>st</sup> kind of confluent hypergeometric polynomial. From (18), the wave function of it is

$$\psi(r, \theta, \phi)_{n_0, n_1, l, m^*} = \bar{N} e^{-\frac{b}{4}(r + \frac{2m}{b})^2} r^l \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = l + \frac{3}{2}; z = \frac{1}{2} b r^2 \right) Y_l^{m^*}(\theta, \phi) \quad (22)$$

By using orthogonal relation, normalized constant  $\bar{N}$  is

$$\bar{N} = \left[ \frac{2^{\gamma-1}}{b^\gamma} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma) - m \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} 2^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}}{b^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{2 \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma) \Gamma(\gamma + n - \frac{1}{2}) (-|\alpha_0|)_n (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(\gamma) \Gamma(k + n - |\alpha_0| + \frac{1}{2}) (\gamma)_n (n!)} \right\} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (23)$$

where,

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} |\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1 \\ |\alpha_1| = n_1 - 1 \end{array} \right\} \text{only if } |\alpha_0| \leq |\alpha_1| \quad (24)$$

(22) is called the 1<sup>st</sup> kind of the normalized Grand confluent hypergeometric wave function of degree  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1|$ .

As the small mass  $m$  goes to zero in (22) and (23), it turns out

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow 0} \psi(r, \theta, \phi)_{n_0, n_1, l, m^*} = \sqrt{\frac{b^\gamma}{2^{\gamma-1} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}} r^l e^{-\frac{1}{4} b r^2} F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) Y_l^{m^*}(\theta, \phi) \quad (25)$$

(25) is exactly equivalent to (5). We see in the new special function, there are two eigen-numbers  $n_0$  and  $n_1$ . The first eigennumber called primary radial quantum number appears in zeroth order of  $m$  term,  $y(r)_{domin.}$  and first order of  $m$  term  $y(r)_{small.}$  But the second eigennumber called the first kind of hidden radial quantum number only appears in the first order of the  $m$  term. As we neglect small mass  $m$ , the primary radial quantum number only appears in wave function. However, when we include the small mass  $m$ , the second eigennumber, 1<sup>st</sup> kind of hidden radial quantum number is created. When one sees any other special function, for example, Laguerre and Associated laguerre function, Legendre and associated Legendre function, hypergeometric function, Kummer function, etc, those functions only have one eigennumber. This is quiet a strange function. Also, surprisingly, the story does not end here! In the new special function, there are two terms which are 0<sup>th</sup> order of  $m$  term,  $y(r)_{dominan.}$  and 1<sup>st</sup> order of  $m$  term,  $y(r)_{small.}$  Actually, higher order of  $m$  terms do exist. But the mass  $m$  is extremely small. So We neglect the  $m$  terms that are more than 2<sup>nd</sup> order. We only count it up to a 1<sup>st</sup> order of  $m$  term. The full description of

function  $y(r)$  include all higher order of mass  $m$  in the following way.

$$y(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m^i \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,i} r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,0} r^n + m \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,1} r^n + m^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,2} r^n + m^3 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{n,3} r^n + \dots \quad (26)$$

If the function  $y(r)$  of (26) is infinite series, then the wave function  $\psi(r, \theta, \phi)$  will blow up as we see in (14). So all of each of summation in (26) must be a polynomial. Then, (26) become

$$y(r) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m^i \sum_{n=0}^{N_i} C_{n,i} r^n = \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} C_{n,0} r^n + m \sum_{n=0}^{N_1} C_{n,1} r^n + m^2 \sum_{n=0}^{N_2} C_{n,2} r^n + m^3 \sum_{n=0}^{N_3} C_{n,3} r^n + \dots \quad (27)$$

Then, for example, all possible eigenvalues and eigennumbers of  $m^{th}$  order term up to forth order of  $m$  are

$$m^0 \text{ order term; } \left\{ E_0^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \right\} \quad (28a)$$

$$m^1 \text{ order term; } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_0^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_1^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right\} \quad (28b)$$

$$m^2 \text{ order term; } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_0^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_1^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_2^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_2 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \quad n_2 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right\} \quad (28c)$$

$$m^3 \text{ order term; } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_0^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_1^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad n_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_2^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_2 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \quad n_2 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_3^2 = 4b \left( l + 2n_3 + \frac{5}{2} \right) \quad n_3 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right\} \quad (28d)$$

$$\text{m}^4 \text{ order term; } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} E_0^2 = 4b\left(l + 2n_0 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad n_0 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_1^2 = 4b\left(l + 2n_1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad n_1 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_2^2 = 4b\left(l + 2n_2 + \frac{3}{2}\right) \quad n_2 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_3^2 = 4b\left(l + 2n_3 + \frac{5}{2}\right) \quad n_3 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \\ E_4^2 = 4b\left(l + 2n_4 + \frac{7}{2}\right) \quad n_4 = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots \end{array} \right\} \quad (28e)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} n_i \leq n_j \text{ where } i \leq j \text{ and } i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ E_0^2 = \text{primary radial eigenvalue} \\ E_i^2 = i^{th} \text{ type hidden radial eigenvalue} \\ n_0 = \text{primary radial quantum number} \\ n_i = i^{th} \text{ type hidden radial quantum number} \end{array} \right\} \quad (29)$$

We see  $i^{th}$  term of  $m$  has  $(i+1)$  different eigenvalues from (28a)-(28e). Actually, we don't have to think about eigenfunctions of all higher order of  $m^{th}$  term, since these functions create extremely small vibrations and variation because of small mass  $m$ . So zeroth order of  $m$  and 1st order of  $m$  terms are sufficient. However, we obtain many eigenvalues whenever the order of  $m^{th}$  term increases. These are reasons why there are many linearly increasing lines with same slopes including bunch of eigenvalues corresponding to fermions and bosons. From (28a)-(29), we can obtain quiet exact mass formulas of many elementary particles.

## II. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF GRAND CONFLUENT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTION

Now let's generalize this new special function. Suppose that there is a second order differential equation which is

$$x^2 y''(x) + a_0 x y'(x) + (a_1 x^4 + b_1 x^3 + c_1 x^2 + d_1) y(x) = 0 \quad \text{where, } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1 = \Re \\ 0 \leq x \leq \infty \end{array} \right. \quad (30)$$

(30) is equivalent to (1). All coefficients in the above exactly correspond in the following way.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_0 &\longleftrightarrow 2 \\
a_1 &\longleftrightarrow -\frac{b^2}{4} \\
b_1 &\longleftrightarrow -mb \\
c_1 &\longleftrightarrow \left(\frac{E^2}{4} - m^2\right) \\
d_1 &\longleftrightarrow -l(l+1) \\
x &\longrightarrow r
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

When a function  $y(x) = e^{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}\left(x+\frac{b_1}{2a_1}\right)^2} x^{\frac{1}{2}\left(-(a_0-1)\pm\sqrt{(a_0-1)^2-4d_1}\right)} g(x)$  acts on (30), we have

$$xg''(x) + (\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x + \nu)g'(x) + (\Omega x + \varepsilon\omega)g(x) = 0 \tag{32}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &= 2i\sqrt{a_1} \\
\varepsilon &= \frac{ib_1}{\sqrt{a_1}} \\
\nu &= 1 \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2-4d_1} \\
\Omega &= c_1 - \frac{b_1^2}{4a_1} + i\sqrt{a_1}\left(2 \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2-4d_1}\right) \\
\omega &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2-4d_1}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

(32) is equivalent to (6). All coefficients in the above exactly correspond to the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &\longleftrightarrow -b \\
\varepsilon &\longleftrightarrow -2m \\
\nu &\longleftrightarrow 2(l+1) \\
\Omega &\longleftrightarrow Q = \frac{E^2}{4} - b\left(l + \frac{3}{2}\right) \\
\omega &\longleftrightarrow (l+1) \\
x &\longrightarrow r
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We first suggest that  $|\frac{\varepsilon}{2}| = |\frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}| \ll 1$ . By using the function  $g(x)$  as Frobinous series in (32), we have two indicial roots,  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$ . And, recurrence formula for all n is

$$K_n = A_n + \frac{B_n}{K_{n-1}} \quad ; n \geq 1 \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_n = \frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n} \\ K_{n-1} = \frac{C_n}{C_{n-1}} \\ A_n = -\frac{\varepsilon(n+\lambda+\omega)}{(n+\lambda+1)(n+\lambda+\nu)} \\ B_n = -\frac{\Omega+\mu(n+\lambda-1)}{(n+\lambda+1)(n+\lambda+\nu)} \\ \frac{C_1}{C_0} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{array} \right. \quad (35)$$

Let's test for the convergence of the function  $g(x)$ . As n goes to infinity, recurrence formula is approximately equal to

$$C_{n+1} \simeq -\frac{\mu}{n}C_{n-1} \quad (36)$$

Now we can describe  $g(x)$  as power series by substituting (36) into Frobinous series. For simplicity, we suggest  $C_0 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \gg 1} g(x) &\simeq \sum_n C_{2n}x^{2n} + \sum_n C_{2n+1}x^{2n+1} \\ &= \sum_n \frac{(-\frac{1}{2})!}{(n-\frac{1}{2})!} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right)^n - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon x e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \\ &= 1 + \sqrt{\pi}e^{(-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2)} \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \right] - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon x e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \\ &> (1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon x) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

In the above,  $\text{Erf} \left[ \sqrt{-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2} \right]$  is error function. Substitute (37) into  $y(x)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \gg 1} y(x) &\simeq x^{\frac{1}{2}(-(a_0-1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( e^{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (i)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (a_1)^{1/4} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right] \right) \\ &> e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} x^{\frac{1}{2}(-(a_0-1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( 1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x \right) \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

(I) As  $a_1 = \text{real positive}$

(a) If  $\frac{1}{2}(-(a_0-1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2 - 4d_1}) < -1$ ,

As  $n \gg 1$  and  $x \rightarrow 0$  in (38),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) > x^{\frac{1}{2}(-(a_0-1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( 1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x \right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (39)$$

As  $x$  of  $\text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right]$  function goes to  $\infty$ , its imaginary part oscillates around zero. As  $a_1$  increases, its oscillation are really close to zero, and its real part oscillates at around  $-1$ . Also, as  $a_1$  increases, its oscillation is really close to  $-1$ . As  $x$  goes to  $\infty$  in (38)

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \simeq x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( e^{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} + (i)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (a_1)^{1/4} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right] \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (40)$$

(b) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) = -1$ ,

(38) turn out to be

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} y(x) \simeq \frac{1}{x} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} + (i)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (a_1)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right] > e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} \right) \quad (41)$$

As  $x \rightarrow 0$  in (41), it then yields

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) > e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} \right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (42)$$

As  $x$  goes to zero, the function  $y(x)$  become divergent. Also, as  $x \rightarrow \infty$  in (41), suggested by  $|\frac{\varepsilon}{2}| = |\frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}| \ll 1$ , it is simply approximately

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \simeq (i)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (a_1)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right] \quad (43)$$

(c) If  $-1 < \frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) < 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to zero and  $\infty$  in (38),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) > e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( 1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x \right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (44)$$

(d) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) = 0$ ,

(38) turns out to be

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} y(x) \simeq e^{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} + (i)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi} (a_1)^{1/4} x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2} (a_1)^{1/4} x \right] > e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \left( 1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}} x \right) \quad (45)$$

As  $x$  goes zero and  $\infty$  in (45),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) \simeq 1 \quad \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad (46)$$

(e) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) > 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to  $\infty$  and zero in (38),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) > e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left(1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}x\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (47)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) &\simeq x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( e^{\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (i)^{3/2}\sqrt{\pi}(a_1)^{1/4}x e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{a_1}x^2} \text{Erf} \left[ (i)^{3/2}(a_1)^{1/4}x \right] \right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

(II) As  $a_1 = 0$

(38) simply turns to be

$$\lim_{n \gg 1} y(x) \simeq x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left(1 - \frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}x\right) \quad (49)$$

We suggest that  $|\frac{\varepsilon}{2}| = |\frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}| \ll 1$ . Then as  $a_1 = 0$  in the second term of the bracket in (49),

$|\frac{\varepsilon}{2}| = |\frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}| \rightarrow \infty$ . The function  $y(x)$  will be divergent no matter what the value of  $x$  is.

Therefore, there are no any independent solutions at all in the case of  $a_1 = 0$ .

(III) As  $a_1 = \text{real negative}$

Plug  $a_1 = -|a_1|$  into (38).

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \gg 1} y(x) &\simeq x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2}} + \sqrt{\pi}(|a_1|)^{1/4}x e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2}} \text{Erf} \left[ (|a_1|)^{1/4}x \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{b_1}{2\sqrt{|a_1|}}x e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2}} \right) \\ &> e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left(1 - \frac{b_1}{2\sqrt{|a_1|}}x\right) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

(a) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) < 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (50), the function  $y(x)$  is divergent.

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) > e^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2}} x^{\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1})} \left(1 - \frac{b_1}{2\sqrt{|a_1|}}x\right) \rightarrow \infty \quad (51)$$

(b) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) = 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (50),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad (52)$$

(c) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) > 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (50),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad (53)$$

We check all possible tests to determine if an infinite series of function  $y(x)$  converges or diverges on the above. Now let's test for convergence as the function  $y(x)$  as  $g(x)$  is polynomial for the case of  $a_1 = \text{real negative}$ . Substitute  $g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N C_n x^{n+\lambda}$  into  $y(x)$ .

$$y(x) \simeq e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{|a_1|x^2} \pm \frac{1}{2}(-(a_0-1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0-1)^2 - 4d_1})} \sum_{n=0}^N C_n x^{n+\lambda} \quad (54)$$

(a) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) < 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (54),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) \rightarrow \infty \quad \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (55)$$

(b) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) = 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (54),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0}} y(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad \lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (56)$$

c) If  $\frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) > 0$ ,

As  $x$  goes to 0 and  $\infty$  in (54),

$$\lim_{\substack{n \gg 1 \\ x \rightarrow 0 \\ x \rightarrow \infty}} y(x) \rightarrow 0 \quad (57)$$

Now let's try to investigate independent solutions of a function  $g(x)$  for two cases which are polynomial and infinite series. First of all, if we choose boundary conditions that a function  $g(x)$  goes to zero as  $x$  goes to  $\infty$ , and it is convergent as  $x$  goes to zero. Then, the function  $g(x)$  must be polynomial. The boundary condition for the polynomial is the following:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} g(x) \rightarrow \text{convergent} \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(x) \rightarrow 0 \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

and the necessary conditions of it is

$$\begin{cases} a_1 = \text{real negative} \\ \frac{1}{2}(- (a_0 - 1) \pm \sqrt{(a_0 - 1)^2 - 4d_1}) \geq 0 \\ |\frac{\varepsilon}{2}| = |\frac{ib_1}{2\sqrt{a_1}}| \ll 1 \end{cases} \quad (59)$$

After we develop independent solutions for the polynomial, we can expand them as infinite series in simple ways. But even if this process is simple, it does not mean that polynomial series is just the special case of the infinite series. They are independent each other. When you try to find the solution of any differential equation, first of all, you must consider what physical circumstance and mathematical condition make solutions as polynomial series and or infinite series. As we know, there are two indicial roots which are  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$ .

### III. FINITE SERIES

#### A. $\nu = \text{non-integer}$

##### 1. As $\lambda_1 = 0$

###### a. Power series

From (35)

$$A_n|_{\lambda=0} = -\frac{\varepsilon(n + \omega)}{(n + 1)(n + \nu)} \quad (60a)$$

$$B_n|_{\lambda=0} = -\frac{\Omega + \mu(n - 1)}{(n + 1)(n + \nu)} \quad (60b)$$

Put  $n=0$  in (60a). We get  $A_0 = -\frac{\omega}{\nu}\varepsilon = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \frac{C_1}{C_0}$ . From (35), we have  $K_0 = \frac{C_1}{C_0}$  which is equal to  $A_0$ . Plug  $n = 1$  into recurrence formula.

$$K_1 = A_1 + \frac{B_1}{K_0} = A_1 + \frac{B_1}{A_0} = A_1 - \frac{B_1}{(\varepsilon/2)} \quad (61)$$

As we see in (61),  $A_n$  includes the first order of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  in which is has an extremely small value. Then, we argue that  $|A_1| \ll |\frac{B_1}{A_0}| = \frac{B_1}{(\varepsilon/2)}$ . (61) is approximatively equal to  $K_1 \simeq \frac{B_1}{A_0} = -\frac{B_1}{(\varepsilon/2)}$ . By using this process, we can simplify (35) by giving  $K_n$  in terms of  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  instead of  $K_{n-1}$  up to the first order of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . By using  $K_n = \frac{C_{n+1}}{C_n}$ , even and odd terms of  $C_n$  are same

as (16). We can describe  $g(x)$  as power series by using (16).

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x) &= g(x)_{\text{domin.}} + g(x)_{\text{small}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n} x^{2n} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1} x^{2n+1} \\
&= C_0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{2k+1} x^{2n} \right\} + C_0 \left\{ A_0 x + (A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_1) x^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ A_0 \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( A_{2j} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} B_{2k+1} \right) + A_{2n} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+1} \right] x^{2n+1} \right\} \quad (62)
\end{aligned}$$

By using similar process from the previous case, there are two eigennumbers which are

$$-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} = n_0 - 1 = |\alpha_0| \quad \text{where } n_0 = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (63a)$$

$$-\left(\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = n_1 - 1 = |\alpha_1| \quad \text{where } n_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (63b)$$

(63a) makes  $B_{2k+1}$  term go to zero at certain value of  $k$  where  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . And (63b) makes  $B_{2k+2}$  term go to zero at certain value of  $k$ . (63a) and (63b) make  $g(x)$  function a polynomial series. The  $g(x)_{\text{small}}$  term is extremely small value relatively compared to  $g(x)_{\text{domin.}}$  because it includes  $A_n$  term having  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . First of all, add (60a) and (60b) into the first term of  $g(x)$  in (62), putting  $C_0 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+|\alpha_0|)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu)$  and  $z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2$ .

$$g(x)_{\text{domin.}} = F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} z^n \quad (64)$$

(64) is same as the first kind of confluent hypergeometric function. Substitute (60a) and (60b) into the second term of  $g(x)$  in (62), plugging  $C_0 = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma+|\alpha_0|)}{\Gamma(\gamma)}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu)$  and  $z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
g(x)_{\text{small}} &= -\frac{\Gamma(\gamma + |\alpha_0|)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} x \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(\frac{\omega}{2})}{2(\frac{1}{2})(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \frac{(-1)^n (\frac{1}{2})! (\gamma - \frac{1}{2})! (|\alpha_1|)!}{(n + \frac{1}{2})! (\gamma + n - \frac{1}{2})! (|\alpha_1| - n)!} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon(n + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \frac{(-1)^n (\gamma - 1)! (|\alpha_0|)!}{(n)! (\gamma + n - 1)! (|\alpha_0| - n)!} \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon(j + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(j + \frac{1}{2})(j + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \frac{(-1)^n (\gamma - 1)! (|\alpha_0|)! (j + \frac{1}{2})! (j + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})! (|\alpha_1| - j)!}{(j)! (\gamma + j - 1)! (|\alpha_0| - j)! (n + \frac{1}{2})! (n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})! (|\alpha_1| - n)!} \right\} z^n \quad (65)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting  $|\alpha_0| = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  in (65), we yield

$$g(x)_{small} = -x\varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})(n - \frac{1}{2})!(|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})!}{(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})(n)!(-\frac{1}{2})!(|\alpha_0| - n)!(n + \gamma - 1)!} \\ \times \sum_{k=n}^{|\alpha_1|} \frac{(-1)^k(\frac{1}{2})!(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})!(|\alpha_1| - n)!}{(k + \frac{1}{2})!(|\alpha_1| - k)!(k + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})!} z^k \quad (66)$$

As we see in (66), maximum value of index  $n$  is  $|\alpha_0|$ . The range of index  $k$  is  $n \leq k \leq |\alpha_1|$ . In other words,  $0 \leq n \leq |\alpha_0| \leq k \leq |\alpha_1|$ . Then we obtain  $|\alpha_0| \leq |\alpha_1|$ . If  $|\alpha_0| \geq |\alpha_1|$ , the function  $g(x)$  will be infinite series. Then, the function  $y(x)$  will blow up as we plug  $g(x)$  into it no matter what the value of  $x$  is. Such solution does not exist. When we see the second summation of (66), we can shift index  $k$  to zero at the beginning of summation. Then we can replace the interval of index  $k$  by  $0 \leq k \leq |\alpha_1| - n$ . (66) which is simply described as

$$g(x)_{small} = -x\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})(n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \quad (67)$$

We see the first summation of (67) which is  $\frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n$ , it is the first kind of confluent hypergeometric polynomial of degree  $|\alpha_0|$  which is denoted as  $F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z)$  surprisingly. Substitute (64) and (67) into (62).

$$g(x) = \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( |\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1, |\alpha_1| = n_1 - 1, \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \\ = F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) \quad \text{only if } |\alpha_0| \leq |\alpha_1| \quad (68)$$

where,

$$F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n \quad (69a)$$

$$\prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n!(\gamma)_n} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})(n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \quad (69b)$$

(68) denoted as  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( |\alpha_0| = n_0 - 1, |\alpha_1| = n_1 - 1, \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right)$  is called as the first kind of Grand confluent hypergeometric polynomial of degree  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1|$  with the first order of  $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

We also we obtain two eigenvalues from (63a) and (63b), which are

$$\Omega_0^1 = -2\mu|\alpha_0| \quad \text{where } |\alpha_0| = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (70a)$$

$$\Omega_1^1 = -2\mu \left( |\alpha_1| + \frac{1}{2} \right) \quad \text{where } |\alpha_1| = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (70b)$$

### b. Integral formalism

The solution of the Laguerre differential equation is

$$L_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k \binom{n}{k}}{k!} z^k = \frac{e^z}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^n e^{-z}) \quad (71)$$

And the solution of the associated Laguerre differential equation is

$$L_n^k(z) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j (n+k)!}{(n-j)!(k+j)!(j)!} z^j = \frac{e^z z^{-k}}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} (z^{n+k} e^{-z}) \quad (72)$$

(69b) might be described in the following way:

$$\prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} F_n^{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) \prod_n^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) \quad (73a)$$

where,

$$F_n^{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} z^n \quad (73b)$$

$$\prod_n^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \quad (73c)$$

Plug  $|\alpha_0| = 0$  into (68), (69a) and (73a)-(73c)

$$\mathcal{QW}_{0,|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|} \frac{(\frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (-|\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \quad (74)$$

The beta function is

$$B(p, q) = \frac{\Gamma(p) \Gamma(q)}{\Gamma(p+q)} = \int_0^1 dt t^{p-1} (1-t)^{q-1} = \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^{p-1}}{(1+t)^{p+q}} = B(q, p) \quad (75)$$

And,

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(k+1)} \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2)^k = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(k + \frac{3}{2})} \quad (76)$$

By using (76) into the second term of (74) on r.h.s

$$\prod_0^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) = \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dp \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \binom{|\alpha_1|}{k}}{\Gamma(k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} [z(1-p^2)]^k \quad (77)$$

Replace p and q by  $\gamma - \frac{1}{2}$  and  $k+1$  in (75). Substitute it into (77).

$$\prod_0^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) = \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dp \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|} \frac{(-1)^k \binom{|\alpha_1|}{k}}{\Gamma(k+1)} [z(1-t)(1-p^2)]^k \quad (78)$$

Replace  $n$  and  $z$  by  $|\alpha_1|$  and  $\lambda = z(1-t)(1-p^2)$  in (71). Then, plug it into (78)

$$\prod_0^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dp \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} L_{|\alpha_1|}(\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \quad (79)$$

Plug (79) into (74)

$$\mathcal{QW}_{0,|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = F_0(\gamma; z) - x \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \int_{-1}^1 dp \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} L_{|\alpha_1|}(\lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \quad (80)$$

Plug  $|\alpha_0| = 1$  into (68), (69a) and (73a)-(73c)

$$\mathcal{QW}_{1,|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = F_1(\gamma; z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \left\{ F_0^1(\gamma, z) \prod_0^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) + F_1^1(\gamma, z) \prod_1^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) \right\} \quad (81)$$

Replace  $k$  by  $k+1$  in (76), and plug it into the second term inside of bracket in (81)

$$\prod_1^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2) \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-1} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(|\alpha_1|) \Gamma(\gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(|\alpha_1| - k) \Gamma(k + \gamma + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + 2)} [z(1-p^2)]^k \quad (82)$$

Replace  $p$  and  $q$  by  $\gamma + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $k+1$  in (75). Substitute it into (82).

$$\prod_1^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2) \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-1} \frac{(-1)^k \Gamma(|\alpha_1|) [z(1-t)(1-p^2)]^k}{\Gamma(|\alpha_1| - k) \Gamma(k+1) \Gamma(k+2)} \quad (83)$$

Replace  $n, k, j$  and  $z$  by  $|\alpha_1| - 1, 1, k$  and  $\lambda = z(1-t)(1-p^2)$  in (72). And plug it into (83).

$$\prod_1^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = \frac{1}{2|\alpha_1|} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2) \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} L_{|\alpha_1|-1}^1(\lambda) \quad (84)$$

Substitute (79) and (84) into (81).

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{QW}_{1,|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = F_1(\gamma; z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \left\{ F_0^1(\gamma, z) \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dp \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} L_{|\alpha_1|}(\lambda) \right. \\ \left. + F_1^1(\gamma, z) \frac{1}{2|\alpha_1|} \left( 1 + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2) \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} L_{|\alpha_1|-1}^1(\lambda) \right\} \quad (85) \end{aligned}$$

As  $|\alpha_0| = 2$  in (68), (69a) and (73a)-(73c), the result of its solution is similar to the previous case as  $|\alpha_0| = 0$  and 1.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{QW}_{2,|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) = F_2(\gamma; z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \sum_{n=0}^2 \left\{ F_n^2(\gamma, z) \left( n + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1)} \right. \\ \left. \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}+n} \int_{-1}^1 dp (1-p^2)^n L_{|\alpha_1|-n}^n(\lambda) \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \quad (86) \end{aligned}$$

According to (80), (85) and (86), (69a) and (69b) are

$$F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) = \frac{(|\alpha_0|)!}{2\pi i} \oint dv \frac{e^{-\frac{zv}{(1-v)}}}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \quad (87)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \left\{ F_n^{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma, z) \left( n + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2} + n} \int_{-1}^1 dp (1 - p^2)^n L_{|\alpha_1| - n}^n(\lambda) \left. \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

We know

$$\frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(|\alpha_1| + 1)} = \frac{B(n + \frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \quad (89)$$

Substitute (73b) and (89) into (88).

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n(|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{(n-1)! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} \frac{B(n + \frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp [zt(1 - p^2)]^n L_{|\alpha_1| - n}^n(\lambda) \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n(|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{n! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} \frac{B(n + \frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1 - n)}{B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp [zt(1 - p^2)]^n L_{|\alpha_1| - n}^n(\lambda) \left. \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

Replace p and q by  $n + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|\alpha_1| + 1 - n$  into (75). Then, plug it into (90).

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{1}{B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp \\ &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n(|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{(n-1)! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} [zts(1 - p^2)]^n L_{|\alpha_1| - n}^n(\lambda) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n(|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{n! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} [zts(1 - p^2)]^n L_{|\alpha_1| - n}^n(\lambda) \left. \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Integral form of Associated Laguerre polynomial is

$$L_n^m(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint du \frac{e^{-\frac{zu}{(1-u)}}}{u^{n+1}(1-u)^{m+1}} \quad (92)$$

Replace  $n$ ,  $m$  and  $z$  by  $|\alpha_1| - n$ ,  $n$  and  $\lambda$  in (92). Then plug it into (91).

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \\ &\times \int_{-1}^1 dp \oint du \frac{e^{-\frac{\lambda u}{(1-u)}}}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \left\{ zst(1-p^2) \frac{u}{1-u} \right. \\ &\times \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n (|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{(n-1)! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} \left[ zts(1-p^2) \frac{u}{(1-u)} \right]^{n-1} \\ &\left. + \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n (|\alpha_0|)! (|\alpha_0| + \gamma - 1)!}{n! (|\alpha_0| - n)! (n + \gamma - 1)!} \left[ zts(1-p^2) \frac{u}{(1-u)} \right]^n \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=z(1-t)(1-p^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Replace  $z$  and  $\lambda$  by  $w_1 = zts(1-p^2)\frac{u}{(1-u)}$  and  $z(1-t)(1-p^2)$  into (93).

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp \\ &\times \oint du \frac{e^{-\frac{zu}{(1-u)}(1-t)(1-p^2)}}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \left\{ w_1 \partial_{w_1} + \frac{\omega}{2} \right\} F_{|\alpha_0|} \left( \gamma; w_1 = zts(1-p^2) \frac{u}{(1-u)} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

(94) can be described as various integral forms of several different special function in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{(|\alpha_0|)!}{2\pi i B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{zstv(1-p^2)}{(1-v)} \partial_{w_2} + \frac{\omega}{2} \right\} L_{|\alpha_1|} \left( w_2 = z(1-p^2) \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv}{(1-v)} \right\} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{(2|\alpha_0|)!}{(2\pi i)^2 B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \\ &\times \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \oint \frac{du}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \left\{ \frac{zstvu}{(1-v)(1-u)} \right. \\ &\times \left( \frac{1}{3} M\left(1, \frac{5}{2}, w_3\right) - M\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, w_3\right) \right) + \frac{\omega}{2} M\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, w_3\right) \Big\} \\ &\text{where, } w_3 = \frac{zu}{(1-u)} \left\{ -(1-t) - \frac{stv}{(1-v)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{(|\alpha_0|)!}{(2\pi i)^2 B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dp (1-p^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \\ &\times \oint \frac{due^{-\frac{zup}{(1-u)}}}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \left\{ \frac{-zspvu}{(\gamma + \frac{1}{2})(1-v)(1-u)} M\left(\gamma + \frac{1}{2}, \gamma + \frac{3}{2}, w_4\right) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\omega}{2(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})} M\left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}, \gamma + \frac{1}{2}, w_4\right) \right\} \quad \text{where, } w_4 = \frac{zup}{(1-u)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{sv}{(1-v)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{\sqrt{\pi}(|\alpha_0|)!}{(2\pi i)^2 B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \oint du \frac{e^{-\frac{zu}{(1-u)}(1-t)(1-p^2)}}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \\
&\times \left\{ -\frac{ztvu(1-p^2)}{2(1-v)(1-u)} U\left(\frac{3}{2}, -|\alpha_1| + 1, w_5\right) + \frac{\omega}{2} U\left(\frac{1}{2}, -|\alpha_1|, w_5\right) \right\} \\
&\text{where, } w_5 = \frac{ztuv}{(1-v)(1-u)}(1-p^2)
\end{aligned} \tag{98}$$

In the above,  $M(a, b, z)$  is the first kind of Kummer function which is

$$\begin{aligned}
M(a, b, z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(b)_n n!} z^n = e^z M(b-a, b, -z) \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b-a)} \int_0^1 du e^{zu} u^{a-1} (1-u)^{b-a-1} \\
&\text{where, } \operatorname{Re}(b) > \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

And  $U(a, b, z)$  is the second kind of Kummer function which is

$$\begin{aligned}
U(a, b, z) &= \frac{\Gamma(1-b)}{\Gamma(a-b+1)} M(a, b, z) + \frac{\Gamma(b-1)}{\Gamma(a)} z^{1-b} M(a-b+1, 2-b, z) \\
&= z^{1-b} U(1+a-b, 2-b, z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^\infty dt e^{-zt} t^{a-1} (1+t)^{b-a-1} \quad \text{where, } \operatorname{Re}(a) > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Substitute integral form of the first kind of confluent hypergeometric function into (94).

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{(|\alpha_0|)!}{(2\pi i)^2 B(\frac{1}{2}, |\alpha_1| + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(|\alpha_1| + \frac{3}{2})} \\
&\times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma - \frac{3}{2}} \int_{-1}^1 dp \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1}(1-v)^\gamma} \\
&\times \oint \frac{du}{u^{|\alpha_1|+1}(1-u)} \left\{ -\frac{zstuv(1-p^2)}{(1-u)(1-v)} + \frac{\omega}{2} \right\} e^{-\frac{zu}{(1-u)}(1-p^2)} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv}{1-v} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

Description we gave in (95)-(98) as integral formalism is exactly equivalent (101). And we obtain the integral representation of the first kind of grand confluent hypergeometric function according to (87) and (101).

### c. Generating function

Now, let's try to get the generating function of the 1<sup>st</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric function. First of all, we multiply  $\sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^\infty B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2}) v_0^{|\alpha_1|}$  on both sides of integral form of 1<sup>st</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric function in Table 2 where  $|v_0| < 1$ .

$$\sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^\infty B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2}) v_0^{|\alpha_1|} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) = F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \text{I} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \text{II} \tag{102}$$

where,

$$I = \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^{\infty} B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2}) v_0^{|\alpha_1|} \quad (103a)$$

$$II = \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^{\infty} B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2}) v_0^{|\alpha_1|} \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|} (\gamma; z) \quad (103b)$$

The 1<sup>st</sup> kind of hypergeometric function is

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k (b)_k}{(c)_k k!} z^k = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c-b)} \int_0^1 dt t^{b-1} (1-t)^{c-b-1} (1-zt)^{-a}$$

only if  $|z| < 1$ ,  $\text{Re}(c) > \text{Re}(b) > 0$  (104)

Substitute (104) into (103a).

$$I = \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \frac{3}{2})} v_0^{|\alpha_0|} {}_2F_1(1, |\alpha_0| + 1, |\alpha_0| + \frac{3}{2}, v_0) = \int_0^1 dt (v_0 t)^{|\alpha_0|} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0 t)^{-1} \quad (105)$$

Substitute (101) into (103b).

$$II = \frac{(|\alpha_0|)!}{2\pi i} \int_0^{\infty} ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^1 dp (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \oint \frac{dv}{v^{|\alpha_0|+1} (1-v)^{\gamma}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{1+s}{1+s-v_0} \left( -\frac{z v s t p v_0}{(1+s-v_0)(1-v)} + \frac{\omega}{2} \right) \right\} e^{-\frac{z p v_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{t s v}{1-v} \right\}} \quad (106)$$

Multiply  $\sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_1^{|\alpha_0|}}{(|\alpha_0|)!}$  with both sides of (102) where  $|v_1| < 1$ .

$$\sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^{\infty} \frac{B(|\alpha_1| + 1, \frac{1}{2})}{(|\alpha_0|)!} v_0^{|\alpha_1|} v_1^{|\alpha_0|} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1+\nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right)$$

$$= A - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x B \quad (107)$$

where,

$$A = \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_1^{|\alpha_0|}}{(|\alpha_0|)!} F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) I \quad (108a)$$

$$B = \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_1^{|\alpha_0|}}{(|\alpha_0|)!} II \quad (108b)$$

Plug (105) into (108a).

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0 t)^{-1} \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \frac{(v_0 v_1 t)^{|\alpha_0|}}{(|\alpha_0|)!} F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \\
&= \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0 t)^{-1} (1-v_0 v_1 t)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{z v_0 v_1 t}{1-v_0 v_1 t}} \\
&= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma+n+m-1)! (-z v_0 v_1)^n (v_0 v_1)^m}{n! m! (\gamma+n-1)!} \int_0^1 dt t^{n+m} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0 t)^{-1} \quad (109)
\end{aligned}$$

Replace a,b,c and z by  $1, n+m+1, n+m+\frac{3}{2}$  and  $v_0$  into (104). And substitute it into (109).

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(n+m+1, \frac{1}{2}) (\gamma+n)_m (-z v_0 v_1)^n (v_0 v_1)^m}{n! m!} {}_2F_1\left(1, n+m+1, n+m+\frac{3}{2}, v_0\right) \\
&= \sum_{n,m,j=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(n+m+j+1, \frac{1}{2}) (\gamma+n)_m (-z)^n}{n! m!} v_0^{n+m+j} v_1^{n+m} \quad (110)
\end{aligned}$$

We can describe (110) in a different way. First of all, there is the 1st kind of Appell hypergeometric function which is

$$F_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma; x, y) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{j+k} (\beta)_j (\beta')_k}{j! k! (\gamma)_{j+k}} x^j y^k \quad \text{for } |x| < 1, |y| < 1 \quad (111)$$

The function  $F_1$  can be expressed by simple integral

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} F_1(\alpha; \beta, \beta'; \gamma; x, y) &= \int_0^1 du u^{\alpha-1} (1-u)^{\gamma-\alpha-1} (1-ux)^{-\beta} (1-uy)^{-\beta'} \\
&\quad \text{where } \operatorname{Re}(\alpha) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\gamma-\alpha) > 0 \quad (112)
\end{aligned}$$

Put  $\alpha = n+1, \gamma = n+\frac{3}{2}, \beta = 1, \beta' = \gamma+n, x = v_0$  and  $y = v_0 v_1$  in (112). And substitute it into (109).

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) (-z v_0 v_1)^n}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})} F_1\left(n+1; 1, \gamma+n; n+\frac{3}{2}; v_0, v_0 v_1\right) \\
&= \sum_{n,j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(n+k+j+1, \frac{1}{2}) (\gamma+n)_k (-z)^n}{n! k!} v_0^{n+k+j} v_1^{n+k} \quad (113)
\end{aligned}$$

(113) is exactly equivalent to the second line of (110). Substitute (106) into (108b).

$$B = \Gamma_1(s, p, t) + \Gamma_2(s, p, t) \quad (114)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1(s, p, t) &= \frac{-zv_0v_1}{(1-v_1)^{\gamma+1}} \int_0^\infty ds s^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s-v_0)^{-2} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dp p(1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{zpv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv_1}{1-v_1} \right\}}\end{aligned}\quad (115)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_2(s, p, t) &= \frac{\frac{\omega}{2}}{(1-v_1)^\gamma} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s-v_0)^{-1} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dp (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{zpv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv_1}{1-v_1} \right\}}\end{aligned}\quad (116)$$

By using the first kind of Kummer function,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 dp p(1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\alpha p} &= e^{-\alpha} \left\{ 2M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \alpha\right) - \frac{2}{3}M\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \alpha\right) \right\} \\ \text{where } \alpha &= \frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv_1}{1-v_1} \right\}\end{aligned}\quad (117)$$

Plug (117) into (115).

$$\Gamma_1(s, p, t) = \gamma_1(s, t) + \gamma_2(s, t) \quad (118)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(s, t) &= \frac{-2zv_0v_1}{(1-v_1)^{\gamma+1}} \int_0^\infty ds s^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s-v_0)^{-2} e^{-\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left(1 - \frac{sv_1}{1-v_1}\right)t} M\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv_1}{1-v_1} \right\}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (119)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_2(s, t) &= \frac{2zv_0v_1}{3(1-v_1)^{\gamma+1}} \int_0^\infty ds s^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+s)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1+s-v_0)^{-2} e^{-\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0}} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left(1 - \frac{sv_1}{1-v_1}\right)t} M\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv_1}{1-v_1} \right\}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (120)$$

There are addition theorem for  $M(a, b, x + y)$ .

$$M(a, b, x + y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n y^n}{(b)_n n!} M(a + n, b + n, x) \quad (121a)$$

$$M(a, b, x + y) = e^y \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b-a)_n (-y)^n}{(b)_n n!} M(a, b + n, x) \quad (121b)$$

Put  $a = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $b = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $x = \frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0}$  and  $y = -\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0} \left(1 - \frac{sv_1}{1-v_1}\right)t$  into (121b), and plug it into (120) and replace  $s$  by  $\frac{1}{t} - 1$  in it.

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_1(s, t) &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)} (zv_0)^{n+1} (-v_1)^{n+1}}{(\gamma + n + \frac{1}{2}) (\frac{3}{2})_n} \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0 t)^{-(n+2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_1} t\right)^n \\ &\quad \times M\left(n+1, n + \frac{3}{2}, -\frac{ztv_0}{1-v_0 t}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (122)$$

Put  $a = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $b = \frac{5}{2}$ ,  $x = \frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0}$  and  $y = -\frac{zv_0}{1+s-v_0}\left(1 - \frac{sv_1}{1-v_1}\right)t$  into (121b), and plug it into (120) and replace  $s$  by  $\frac{1}{t} - 1$  in it.

$$\gamma_2(s, t) = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)}(zv_0)^{n+1}(-v_1)^{n+1}}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})(\frac{5}{2})_n} \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-v_0t)^{-(n+2)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{v_1}t\right)^n \times M\left(n+1, n+\frac{5}{2}, -\frac{ztv_0}{1-v_0t}\right) \quad (123)$$

We know that

$$M\left(n+1, n+\frac{3}{2}, \frac{-zv_0t}{1-v_0t}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)_m(-zv_0)^m}{(n+\frac{3}{2})_m m!} t^m (1-v_0t)^{-m} \quad (124a)$$

$$M\left(n+1, n+\frac{5}{2}, \frac{-zv_0t}{1-v_0t}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)_m(-zv_0)^m}{(n+\frac{5}{2})_m m!} t^m (1-v_0t)^{-m} \quad (124b)$$

Plug (124a) and (124b) into (122) and (123). And Substitute (112), putting  $\alpha = m+1$ ,  $\beta = n+m+2$ ,  $\beta' = -n$ ,  $\gamma = m+\frac{5}{2}$ ,  $x = v_0$  and  $y = \frac{1}{v_1}$  into them .

$$\gamma_1(s, t) = 2 \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)}(zv_0)^{n+m+1}(v_1)^{n+1}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2})_n(n+\frac{3}{2})_m\Gamma(m+\frac{5}{2})} \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+2, -n; m+\frac{5}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \quad (125)$$

$$\gamma_2(s, t) = -\frac{2}{3} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)}(zv_0)^{n+m+1}(v_1)^{n+1}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})(\frac{5}{2})_n(n+\frac{5}{2})_m\Gamma(m+\frac{5}{2})} \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+2, -n; m+\frac{5}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \quad (126)$$

Substitute (125) and (126) into (118).

$$\Gamma_1(s, p, t) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}(n+m+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)}(zv_0)^{n+m+1}(v_1)^{n+1}}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(n+m+\frac{5}{2})} \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+2, -n; m+\frac{5}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \quad (127)$$

Replace  $s$  and  $p$  by  $\frac{1}{v} - 1$  and  $1 - p^2$  in (116).

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(s, p, t) &= \frac{\frac{\omega}{2}}{(1-v_1)^\gamma} \int_0^1 dv (1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-v_0v)^{-1} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\frac{zv_0v}{1-v_0v} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tv_1(1-v)}{v(1-v_1)} \right\}} \\ &\quad \times \int_{-1}^1 dp e^{\frac{zv_0v}{1-v_0v} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tv_1(1-v)}{v(1-v_1)} \right\}} p^2 \\ &= \frac{\omega}{(1-v_1)^\gamma} \int_0^1 dv (1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-v_0v)^{-1} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\quad \times M\left(1, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{-zv_0v}{1-v_0v} \left\{ 1-t + \frac{v_1(1-v)t}{v(1-v_1)} \right\}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (128)$$

Put  $a = 1$ ,  $b = \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $x = -\frac{zv_0}{1-v_0v}$  and  $y = \frac{zv_0v}{1-v_0v} \left\{ 1 - \frac{v_1(1-v)}{v(1-v_1)} \right\} t$  into (121a), and plug it into (128).

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(s, p, t) &= \frac{\omega}{(1-v_1)^\gamma} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v_1^n (1-v_1)^{-n} (-zv_0)^{n+m} (n+1)_m}{(\gamma+n-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2})_n (n+\frac{3}{2})_m m!} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^1 dv v^m (1-v)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0v)^{-(n+m+1)} \left( 1 - \frac{v}{v_1} \right)^n \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

Put  $\alpha = m+1$ ,  $\gamma = m+\frac{3}{2}$ ,  $\beta' = -n$ ,  $\beta = n+m+1$ ,  $x = v_0$  and  $y = \frac{1}{v_1}$  in (112). Then plug it into (129).

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_2(s, p, t) &= \omega \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})(n+1)_m (1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma)} (zv_0)^{n+m} (v_1)^n}{(\gamma+n-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2})_n (n+\frac{3}{2})_m \Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})} \\ &\quad \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+1, -n; m+\frac{3}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

Substitute (127) and (130) into (114).

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1} (n+m+1) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{3}{2}) (1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)} (zv_0)^{n+m+1} (v_1)^{n+1}}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(m+\frac{5}{2}) \Gamma(n+m+\frac{5}{2})} \\ &\quad \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+2, -n; m+\frac{5}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \\ &+ \omega \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2})(n+1)_m (1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma)} (zv_0)^{n+m} (v_1)^n}{(\gamma+n-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2})_n (n+\frac{3}{2})_m \Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})} \\ &\quad \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+1, -n; m+\frac{3}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (131)$$

Plug (113) and (131) into (107). Then we obtain the generating function for 1<sup>st</sup> kind of

grand confluent hypergeometric function:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^{\infty} \frac{B(|\alpha_1|+1, \frac{1}{2})}{(|\alpha_0|)!} v_0^{|\alpha_1|} v_1^{|\alpha_0|} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1+\nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})(-zv_0v_1)^n}{\Gamma(n+\frac{3}{2})} F_1\left(n+1; 1, \gamma+n; n+\frac{3}{2}; v_0, v_0v_1\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \left\{ \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}(n+m+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma+1)}(zv_0)^{n+m+1}(v_1)^{n+1}}{(\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\frac{5}{2})\Gamma(n+m+\frac{5}{2})} \right. \\
&\quad \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+2, -n; m+\frac{5}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \\
&\quad + \omega \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+m}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})(n+1)_m(1-v_1)^{-(n+\gamma)}(zv_0)^{n+m}(v_1)^n}{(\gamma+n-\frac{1}{2})(\frac{3}{2})_n(n+\frac{3}{2})_m\Gamma(m+\frac{3}{2})} \\
&\quad \times F_1\left(m+1; n+m+1, -n; m+\frac{3}{2}; v_0, \frac{1}{v_1}\right) \Big\} \\
&= \sum_{n,j,k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B(n+k+j+1, \frac{1}{2})(\gamma+n)_k(-z)^n}{n! k!} v_0^{n+k+j} v_1^{n+k} - \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\frac{3}{2})}{2} \varepsilon x \sum_{n,m,j,k,s=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \right. \\
&\quad \frac{(-1)^{n+m+1}(n+m+1)(n+\gamma+1)_s(m+1)_{j+k}(n+m+2)_j(-n)_k z^{n+m+1}}{s! j! k! (\gamma+n+\frac{1}{2})(m+\frac{3}{2})!(n+m+\frac{3}{2})!(m+\frac{5}{2})_{j+k}} v_0^{n+m+j+1} v_1^{n+s-k+1} \\
&\quad \left. + \omega \frac{(-1)^{n+m}(n+\gamma)_s(n+1)_m(m+1)_{j+k}(n+m+1)_j(-n)_k z^{n+m}}{s! j! k! \Gamma(\frac{3}{2})(\gamma+n-\frac{1}{2})(m+\frac{1}{2})!(\frac{3}{2})_n(n+\frac{3}{2})_m(m+\frac{3}{2})_{j+k}} v_0^{n+m+j} v_1^{n+s-k} \right\} \quad (132)
\end{aligned}$$

We can describe the generating function of it as the integral formalism by using (109), (115) and (116).

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^{\infty} \frac{B(|\alpha_1|+1, \frac{1}{2})}{(|\alpha_0|)!} v_0^{|\alpha_1|} v_1^{|\alpha_0|} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1+\nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \\
&= \int_0^1 dt (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0t)^{-1} (1-v_0v_1t)^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{zv_0v_1t}{1-v_0v_1t}} \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{x}{(1-v_1)^\gamma} \int_0^1 du (1-u)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-v_0u)^{-1} \int_0^1 dt t^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^1 dp (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\omega}{2} - \frac{zpt(1-u)v_0v_1}{(1-v_1)(1-uv_1)} \right\} e^{-\frac{zpuv_0}{1-uv_0}} \left\{ 1 - \frac{t(u-v_1)}{u(1-v_1)} \right\} \quad (133)
\end{aligned}$$

#### d. Orthogonal relation

From the differential equations satisfied by  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z)$  and  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|, |\beta_1|}(z)$ , namely,

$$x \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}''(z) + (\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x + \nu) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}'(z) + (\Omega_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} x + \varepsilon \omega) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z) = 0 \quad (134a)$$

$$x\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}''(z) + (\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x + \nu)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}'(z) + (\Omega_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}x + \varepsilon\omega)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) = 0 \quad (134b)$$

where,

$$\Omega_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|} = \begin{cases} -2\mu|\alpha_0| \\ -2\mu(|\alpha_1| + \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases} \quad \Omega_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|} = \begin{cases} -2\mu|\beta_0| \\ -2\mu(|\beta_1| + \frac{1}{2}) \end{cases} \quad (135)$$

multiplying (134a) and (134b) by  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z)$  and  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z)$  respectively and subtracting, we have

$$x \frac{dM(z)}{dx} + (\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x + \nu)M(z) = (\Omega_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|} - \Omega_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|})x\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) \quad (136)$$

where

$$M(z) = \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}'(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) - \mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}'(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z) \quad (137)$$

Multiply  $x^{\nu-1}e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x}$  on both side of (136) Then integrate it with respect to x from 0 to  $\infty$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Omega_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|} - \Omega_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}) \int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) \\ &= \left[ x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} (\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}'(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) - \mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}'(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z)) \right] \Big|_0^\infty \end{aligned} \quad (138)$$

Therefore, only if  $|\alpha_0| \neq |\beta_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1| \neq |\beta_1|$ , then

$$\int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z)\mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|,|\beta_1|}(z) = 0 \quad (139)$$

Let's think about the case in which are  $|\alpha_0| = |\beta_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1| = |\beta_1|$ . First of all, from (68)

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z) \right]^2 &= \left[ F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \right]^2 - \varepsilon x F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(z) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4} x^2 \left[ \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(z) \right]^2 \\ &\simeq \left[ F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \right]^2 - \varepsilon x F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(z) \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

$\varepsilon$  is extremely small. So anything beyond the second order of  $\varepsilon$  is negligible in (140). Multiply  $x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x}$  in (140) and integrate them with respect to  $x = [0, \infty]$ .

$$\int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \left[ \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|,|\alpha_1|}(z) \right]^2 = E_1 - \varepsilon E_2 \quad (141)$$

where

$$E_1 = \int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \left[ F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \right]^2 \quad (142a)$$

$$E_2 = \int_0^\infty dx x^{\nu+1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} F_{|\alpha_0|}(z) \prod_{|\alpha_0|}^{|\alpha_1|}(z) \quad (142b)$$

Apply both side of generating function of the first kind of confluent hypergeometric function into (142a) and (142b).

$$E_1 \approx \frac{2^{\gamma-1}}{|\mu|^\gamma} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma) + \varepsilon \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} 2^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(|\alpha_0| + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(|\alpha_0| + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{|\mu|^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \quad (143)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 \approx & \frac{2^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}}}{|\mu|^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} \Gamma(n + k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n + k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + k - |\alpha_0| + \frac{3}{2})} \right. \\ & \left. + \varepsilon \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}|\mu|} \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} \Gamma(n + k + \gamma + 1) \Gamma(n + k + 2)}{\Gamma(n + k - |\alpha_0| + 2)} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

We neglect any terms which include more than second order of  $\varepsilon$ , extremely small, in (143) and (144). Substitute (143) and (144) into (141) with neglecting any terms larger than second order of  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore, the orthogonal relation of it is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z) \mathcal{QW}_{|\beta_0|, |\beta_1|}(z) \\ & \approx \left\{ \frac{2^{\gamma-1}}{|\mu|^\gamma} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma) + \varepsilon \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} 2^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}}{|\mu|^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(|\alpha_0| + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(|\alpha_0| + \gamma + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\gamma - \frac{1}{2}\right)} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{2\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2} - |\alpha_0|)} \right\} \right\} \delta_{|\alpha_0|, |\beta_0|} \delta_{|\alpha_1|, |\beta_1|} \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

Also, if there is an analytic function  $\Psi(x)$  having first and second continuous derivatives in  $[0, \infty]$  and approaching zero when  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , they can be expanded in terms of  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z)$  by using (145):

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{|\alpha_0|=0}^\infty \sum_{|\alpha_1|=|\alpha_0|}^\infty A_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) \quad (146)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \approx & \int_0^\infty dx x^\nu e^{\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x} \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma; z) \Psi(x) \left[ \frac{2^{\gamma-1}}{|\mu|^\gamma} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma) + \varepsilon \frac{(-1)^{|\alpha_0|} 2^{\gamma-\frac{1}{2}}}{|\mu|^{\gamma+\frac{1}{2}}} \right. \\ & \times \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1|-n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{2\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2} - |\alpha_0|)} \right\} \right]^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (147)$$

## 2. ***As*** $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$

### a. **Power series and integral formalism**

From the previous case, we get the first kind of independent solution of grand confluent hypergeometric function, putting  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . As we put  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  into (35)

$$A_n|_{\lambda=1-\nu} = -\frac{\varepsilon(n + \omega - \nu + 1)}{(n + 1)(n + 2 - \nu)} \quad (148a)$$

$$B_n|_{\lambda=1-\nu} = -\frac{\Omega + \mu(n - \nu)}{(n + 1)(n + 2 - \nu)} \quad (148b)$$

And there are eigennumbers where  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu)$  which are

$$-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} + \gamma - 1 = \psi_0 \quad \text{where } \psi_0 = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (149a)$$

$$-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} + \gamma - \frac{3}{2} = \psi_1 \quad \text{where } \psi_1 = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (149b)$$

From the above two eigennumbers, we obtain two eigenvalues.

$$\Omega_0^2 = -2\mu(\psi_0 + 1 - \gamma) \quad \text{where } \psi_0 = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (150a)$$

$$\Omega_1^2 = -2\mu\left(\psi_1 + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma\right) \quad \text{where } \psi_1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (150b)$$

Substitute (148a) and (148b) into (62). Then we obtain the second independent solution of grand confluent hypergeometric function by using similar process as we did before.

$$\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) = z^{1-\gamma} \left\{ A_{\psi_0}(\gamma; z) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}x \bigwedge_{\psi_0}^{\psi_1}(\gamma; z) \right\} \quad (151)$$

where,

$$A_{\psi_0}(\gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\psi_0 + 2 - \gamma)}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-\psi_0)_n}{n!(2 - \gamma)_n} z^n \quad (152)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{\psi_0}^{\psi_1}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{\Gamma(\psi_0 + 2 - \gamma)}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-\psi_0)_n}{n!(2 - \gamma)_n} z^n \\ &\times \sum_{k=0}^{\psi_1-n} \frac{(\frac{\omega}{2} + n + 1 - \gamma)\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma)(n - \psi_1)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2})\Gamma(k + n + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} z^k \end{aligned} \quad (153)$$

And its integral representation is

$$\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) = z^{1-\gamma} \left\{ \frac{\psi_0!}{2\pi i} \oint dv \frac{e^{-\frac{zv}{(1-v)}}}{v^{\psi_0+1}(1-v)^{2-\gamma}} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}x \bigwedge_{\psi_0}^{\psi_1}(\gamma; z) \right\} \quad (154)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\bigwedge_{\psi_0}^{\psi_1}(\gamma; z) &= \frac{\psi_0!}{(2\pi i)^2 B(\frac{1}{2}, \psi_1 + 1)} \int_0^\infty ds s^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1+s)^{-(\psi_1 + \frac{3}{2})} \int_0^1 dt t^{\frac{1}{2}-\gamma} \int_{-1}^1 dp \\
&\times \oint \frac{dv}{v^{\psi_0+1}(1-v)^{2-\gamma}} \oint \frac{du}{u^{\psi_1+1}(1-u)} \left\{ -\frac{zstuv(1-p^2)}{(1-u)(1-v)} \right. \\
&\left. + \left( \frac{\omega}{2} + 1 - \gamma \right) \right\} e^{-\frac{zu}{(1-u)}(1-p^2)} \left\{ (1-t) + \frac{tsv}{1-v} \right\} \quad (155)
\end{aligned}$$

(155) also can be described as various integral forms with several different special functions as we did before in the case of  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . Also we can obtain generating function and orthogonal relation of 2<sup>nd</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric function by using similar process as we got them of 1<sup>st</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric function: look at (132), (133), (146) and (147). We skip these parts because of huge details.

## B. $\nu = \text{integer}$

When  $\nu$  is integer, one of two solution of the grand confluent hypergeometric equation does not have any meaning, because  $y_2(x) = \mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2)$  can be described as  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2)$  as long as  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| = |\nu - 1| = \text{integer}$ . If  $\nu = 1$ , the two solutions are identical. Then two solutions can be solved by Case B) of theorem 1 on the below, and if  $\nu$  is any integer except 1, then it can be calculated by Case C) and Case D) of theorem 1 in the appendix. Now let's investigate the second independent solution as  $\nu$  being an integer.

### (i) As $\nu = \text{negative integer, 0, and 1}$

**Theorem 1**  $P(x)\frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} + Q(x)\frac{\partial G}{\partial x} + R(x)G = 0$

Suppose 0 is a regular singular point ;  $G(x, \lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty C_n(\lambda)x^{n+\lambda}$

**(1) As  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ : distinct roots of the indicial equation.**

Case A) If  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  is not an integer, there are 2 independent Frobenius solutions

$$g_1(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} \quad g_2(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2}$$

Case B) If  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$ , there is a Frobenius solution

$$g_1(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} \quad g_2(x) = \frac{\partial G(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1}$$

Case C) If  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2$  is an integer,

$$g_1(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} \quad g_2(x) = \frac{\partial((\lambda - \lambda_2)G(x, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2}$$

**(2) As  $\lambda_2 < \lambda_1$ : distinct roots of the indicial equation.**

Case D) If  $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$  is not an integer,

$$g_1(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} \quad g_2(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1}$$

Case E) If  $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$  is an integer,

$$g_1(x) = G(x, \lambda) \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} \quad g_2(x) = \frac{\partial((\lambda-\lambda_1)G(x, \lambda))}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1}$$

Since  $\nu$  is smaller than 1,  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  is positive integer. So  $\lambda_1 = 0$  is smaller than  $\lambda_2$ . By using the Case C) in Theorem 1,  $g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=\lambda_1=0}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2)$ . Also, since  $\nu$  is equal to 1,  $\lambda_1$  is equal to  $\lambda_2$ . So by using the Case B) in Theorem 1,  $g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=\lambda_1=0}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2)$ . It is same as before. Only difference is that in the previous case  $\nu$  was equal to 0 or negative integer, and in this case  $\nu$  is equal to 1. Now, let assume that the second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = u(x) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2) \quad (156)$$

Substitute (156) into the grand Confluent hypergeometric differential equation

$$x (u(x) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z))'' + (\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x + \nu) (u(x) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z))' + (\Omega x + \varepsilon \omega) (u(x) \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z)) = 0 \quad (157)$$

Simply, (157) is

$$\frac{u''(x)}{u'(x)} + 2 \frac{\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z)'}{\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z)} + (\mu x + \varepsilon + \frac{\nu}{x}) = 0 \quad (158)$$

From (158), we obtain

$$u(x) = C_1 \int \frac{x^{-\nu} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x)}}{\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}^2(z)} dx + C_0 \quad ; C_0, C_1 = \text{arbitrary constant} \quad (159)$$

Substitute (159) into (156) with  $C_0 = 0$  &  $C_1 = 1$ .

$$g_2(x) = \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z) \int \frac{x^{-\nu} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x)}}{\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}^2(z)} dx \quad (160)$$

The (160) is called "The first kind integral formalism of the second independent solution of the grand confluent hypergeometric function when  $\nu =$  negative integer, 0 and 1."

**(ii) Case of  $\nu =$  positive integer except 1**

When  $\nu$  is greater than 1,  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  is negative integer. So  $\lambda_2$  is smaller than  $\lambda_1$ , by using the Case E) in theorem 1,  $g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=\lambda_2=1-\nu}$  is equal to  $\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z =$

$-\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2$ ). Now, let assume that the second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = u(x)g_1(x) = u(x)\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) \quad (161)$$

By using similar process as we did before,

$$g_2(x) = \mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}(z) \int \frac{x^{-\nu} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x)}}{\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}^2(z)} dx \quad (162)$$

The (162) is called "The second kind integral formalism of the second independent solution of the grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu =$  positive integer except 1." (160) and (162) are inconvenient for direct calculation, as the integrand contains  $[\mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}(z)]^{-2}$  and  $[\mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}(z)]^{-2}$ . Now let's investigate (160) and (162) more precisely and see how these two analytic functions have power series. These two functions can be described by the Frobenius method.

#### (A)the case of $\nu = 0$ or negative integer

As  $\nu$  is 0 or negative integer,  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  is a positive integer. So  $\lambda_1 = 0$  is smaller than  $\lambda_2$ . By using Case C) in theorem 1, the first independent solution is

$$g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=\lambda_1=0} = \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) \quad (163)$$

The second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = g_1(x)\ln x + x^{\lambda_2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(\lambda_2)x^n \quad (164)$$

To generate this solution, keep the recurrence formula in term of  $\lambda$  and use it to find to coefficients  $C_n (n \geq 1)$  in terms of both  $\lambda$  and  $C_0$ , where coefficient  $C_0$  remains arbitrary. Substitute these  $C_n$  into  $G(x) = x^\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n$  to obtain a function  $G(\lambda, x)$  which depends on the variables  $\lambda$  &  $x$ . From the Case C) in theorem 1, the second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = \frac{\partial G(\lambda, x)}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} = \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^{n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial \lambda} x^{n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} \quad (165)$$

Plug  $G(x) = g(x)_{\text{domin.}} + g(x)_{\text{small}}$  into (165).

$$g_2(x) = \frac{\partial g(x)_{\text{domin.}}}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} + \frac{\partial g(x)_{\text{small}}}{\partial \lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_2} \quad (166)$$

The first term of r.h.s in (166) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{domin.}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=1-\nu} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+\lambda} \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} \\ &= \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_{2n}(\lambda, n)}{\partial \lambda} x^{2n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (167a)$$

The second term of r.h.s in (166) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{small}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=1-\nu} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+1+\lambda} \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} \\ &= \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+1+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n)}{\partial \lambda} x^{2n+1+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=1-\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (167b)$$

From (17),

$$\begin{cases} C_0 = C_0 \\ C_{2n} = C_0 \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} B_{2k+1} ; n \geq 1 \end{cases} \quad (168)$$

Substitute  $B_n$  in (35) into (168), and plug it into (167a).

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{domin.}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=1-\nu} &= (1 + \ln(x)) z^{1-\gamma} A_{\psi_0}(\gamma; z) \\ &+ \sum_{n=1}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-1)^n \psi_0! (\psi_0 + 1 - \gamma)!}{2 n! (n + 1 - \gamma)! (\psi_0 - n)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k - \psi_0)} - \frac{1}{(k + 2 - \gamma)} - \frac{1}{(k + 1)} \right\} z^{n+1-\gamma} \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

According to (62), we have

$$\begin{cases} C_1 = C_0 A_0 \\ C_3 = C_0 (A_0 B_2 + A_2 B_1) \\ C_{2n+1} = C_0 \left\{ A_0 \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( A_{2j} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} B_{2p+2} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} B_{2k+1} \right) + A_{2n} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} B_{2p+1} \right\} ; n \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (170)$$

From (35), we get

$$A_n = -\frac{\varepsilon(n + \lambda + \omega)}{(n + 1 + \lambda)(n + \lambda + \nu)} = -\frac{\varepsilon(n + \lambda + \omega)}{(n + 1 + \lambda)(n - 1 + 2\gamma + \lambda)} \quad (171a)$$

$$B_n = -\frac{\mu(n - 1 + \lambda) + \Omega}{(n + 1 + \lambda)(n + \lambda + \nu)} = -\frac{\mu(n - 1 + \lambda) + \Omega}{(n + 1 + \lambda)(n - 1 + 2\gamma + \lambda)} \quad (171b)$$

According to (149a) and (149b), put  $\Omega = -2\mu\left(\psi_0 + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\nu}{2}\right) = -2\mu(\psi_0 + 1 - \gamma)$  as  $n$  is odd in (171b). As  $n$  is even,  $\Omega = -2\mu\left(\psi_1 + 1 - \frac{\nu}{2}\right) = -2\mu\left(\psi_1 + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma\right)$ . Substitute (170) with (171a) and (171b) into (167b), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(x) &= \mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}^F\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) \\
&= z^{1-\gamma} \left\{ (1 + \ln(x)) A_{\psi_0}(\gamma; z) \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-1)^n \psi_0! (\psi_0 + 1 - \gamma)!}{2 n! (n + 1 - \gamma)! (\psi_0 - n)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k - \psi_0)} - \frac{1}{(k + 2 - \gamma)} - \frac{1}{(k + 1)} \right\} z^n \\
&\quad - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} x \frac{\Gamma(\psi_0 + 2 - \gamma)}{\Gamma(2 - \gamma)} \left\{ \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-\psi_0)_n z^n}{n! (2 - \gamma)_n} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{\psi_1 - n} \frac{(n + 1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma) (n - \psi_1)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + n + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} z^k \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\psi_0} \frac{(-\psi_0)_n z^n}{n! (2 - \gamma)_n} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(n + 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2})(n + 1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})}{(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma)} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\psi_1 - n} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma) (n - \psi_1)_k}{\Gamma(n + k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n + k + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} z^k \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})}{(\frac{3}{2} - \gamma)} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - \psi_1)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})(p + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(\psi_1 - k)} + \frac{1}{(k + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} + \frac{1}{(k + \frac{3}{2})} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{(n + 1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma)} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - \psi_0)}{(p + 1)(p + 2 - \gamma)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(\psi_0 - k)} + \frac{1}{(k + 2 - \gamma)} + \frac{1}{(k + 1)} \right) \Big\} z^n \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(j + 1 - \gamma + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(j + \frac{1}{2})(j + \frac{3}{2} - \gamma)} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} \frac{(p - \psi_1)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})(p + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{(k - \psi_0)}{(k + 1)(k + 2 - \gamma)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{(\psi_0 - l)} + \frac{1}{(l + 2 - \gamma)} + \frac{1}{(l + 1)} \right) + \sum_{l=j}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(\psi_1 - l)} + \frac{1}{(l + \frac{3}{2})} + \frac{1}{(l + \frac{5}{2} - \gamma)} \right) \right] z^n \Big\} \Big\} \quad (172)
\end{aligned}$$

**(B) the case of  $\nu =$  positive integer except 1**

As  $\nu$  is positive integer except 1,  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  is negative integer. So  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$  is smaller than  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . By using Case E) in theorem 1, the first independent solution is

$$g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=\lambda_2=1-\nu} = \mathcal{RW}_{\psi_0, \psi_1}\left(\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2\right) \quad (173)$$

The second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = g_1(x) \ln x + x^{\lambda_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(\lambda_1) x^n \quad (174)$$

To generate this solution, keep the recurrence formula in term of  $\lambda$  and use it to find to coefficients  $C_n(n \geq 1)$  in terms of both  $\lambda$  and  $C_0$ , where coefficient  $C_0$  remains arbitrary.

Substitute these  $C_n$  into  $G(x) = x^\lambda \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^n$  to obtain a function  $G(\lambda, x)$  which depends on the variables  $\lambda$  &  $x$ . From the Case E) in theorem 1, the second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = \left. \frac{\partial G(\lambda, x)}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} = \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n x^{n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_n}{\partial \lambda} x^{n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} \quad (175)$$

Plug  $G(x) = g(x)_{domin.} + g(x)_{small}$  into (175).

$$g_2(x) = \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{domin.}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} + \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{small}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=\lambda_1} \quad (176)$$

The first term of r.h.s in (176) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{domin.}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+\lambda} \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_{2n}(\lambda, n)}{\partial \lambda} x^{2n+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \end{aligned} \quad (177a)$$

The second term of r.h.s in (176) is

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial g(x)_{small}}{\partial \lambda} \right|_{\lambda=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+1+\lambda} \right\} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \\ &= \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n) x^{2n+1+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial C_{2n+1}(\lambda, n)}{\partial \lambda} x^{2n+1+\lambda} \Big|_{\lambda=0} \end{aligned} \quad (177b)$$

Substitute (170), (171a) and (171b) into (177a) and (177b) with  $\lambda = 0$  as we did before.

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(x) &= \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}^F \left( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu); z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \\
&= (1 + \ln(x))F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma; z) + \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \sum_{n=1}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{2 n! (\gamma)_n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k - |\alpha_0|)} - \frac{1}{(k + 1)} - \frac{1}{(k + \gamma)} \right\} z^n \\
&\quad - x \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{\Gamma(|\alpha_0| + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \left\{ \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) \Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(k + n + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{n! (\gamma)_n} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(n + \frac{\gamma}{2})(n + \frac{\omega}{2})}{(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2}) (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{\Gamma(n + k + \frac{3}{2}) \Gamma(n + k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} z^k \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\omega}{2}}{(\gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_1|)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})(p + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_1| - k)} + \frac{(2(k + 1) + \gamma)}{(k + \frac{3}{2})(k + \gamma + \frac{1}{2})} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(n + \frac{1}{2})(n + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_0|)}{(p + 1)(p + \gamma)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_0| - k)} + \frac{(2k + 1 + \gamma)}{(k + 1)(k + \gamma)} \right) \left. \right\} z^n \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(j + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(j + \frac{1}{2})(j + \gamma - \frac{1}{2})} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_1|)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})(p + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma)} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{(k - |\alpha_0|)}{(k + 1)(k + \gamma)} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_0| - l)} + \frac{(2l + 1 + \gamma)}{(l + 1)(l + \gamma)} \right) + \sum_{l=j}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_1| - l)} + \frac{(2(k + 1) + \gamma)}{(l + \frac{3}{2})(l + \frac{1}{2} + \gamma)} \right) \right] z^n \Big\} \quad (178)
\end{aligned}$$

### (C) the case of $\nu = 1$

As  $\nu$  is 1, then two solution are identical:  $(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2)$ . Then two solutions can be solved by Case B) in theorem 1, the first independent solution is

$$g_1(x) = G(x)|_{\lambda=0, \gamma=1} = \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|} \left( \gamma = 1; z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \quad (179)$$

The second independent solution is

$$g_2(x) = g_1(x) \ln x + x^{\lambda_1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(\lambda_1) x^n \quad (180)$$

And we can obtain its solution by using the similar process as we did before.

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(x) &= \mathcal{QW}_{|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1|}^S \left( \gamma = 1; z = -\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 \right) \\
&= \ln(x) F_{|\alpha_0|}(\gamma = 1; z) + \sum_{n=1}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-1)^n (|\alpha_0|!)^2}{2 (n!)^2 (|\alpha_0| - n)!} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k - |\alpha_0|)} - \frac{2}{(k + 1)} \right\} z^n \\
&\quad - x \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Gamma(|\alpha_0| + 1) \left\{ \ln x \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n}{(n!)^2} z^n \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2}) (\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}))^2 (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{(\Gamma(k + n + \frac{3}{2}))^2} z^k \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{|\alpha_0|} \frac{(-|\alpha_0|)_n z^n}{(n!)^2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})}{(n + \frac{1}{2})} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{|\alpha_1| - n} \frac{(\Gamma(n + \frac{1}{2}))^2 (n - |\alpha_1|)_k}{(\Gamma(n + k + \frac{3}{2}))^2} z^k \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \omega \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_1|)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_1| - k)} + \frac{2}{(k + \frac{3}{2})} \right) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{(n + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_0|)}{(p + 1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_0| - k)} + \frac{2}{(k + 1)} \right) \left. \right\} z^n \\
&\quad - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{(j + \frac{\omega}{2})}{2(j + \frac{1}{2})^2} \prod_{p=j}^{n-1} \frac{(p - |\alpha_1|)}{(p + \frac{3}{2})^2} \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{(k - |\alpha_0|)}{(k + 1)^2} \\
&\quad \times \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_0| - l)} + \frac{2}{(l + 1)} \right) + \sum_{l=j}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{(|\alpha_1| - l)} + \frac{2}{(l + \frac{3}{2})} \right) \right] z^n \Big\} \quad (181)
\end{aligned}$$

#### IV. INFINITE SERIES

##### A. $\nu = \text{non-integer}$

In the previous section, we discussed the solutions of polynomial case. The 1<sup>st</sup> independent solution has two eigennumber which are

$$\begin{cases} |\alpha_0| = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} \Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ |\alpha_1| = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad ; \text{ only if } |\alpha_1| \geq |\alpha_0| \quad (182)$$

Also, the 2<sup>nd</sup> independent solution has two eigennumber which are

$$\begin{cases} \psi_0 = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} + \gamma - 1 \Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \psi_1 = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} + \gamma - \frac{3}{2} \Rightarrow 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad ; \text{ only if } \psi_1 \geq \psi_0 \quad (183)$$

These conditions make the solutions as finite series. However, if

$$-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} \neq \begin{cases} 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots \end{cases} \quad -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} \neq \begin{cases} 1 - \gamma, 2 - \gamma, 3 - \gamma, \dots \\ \frac{3}{2} - \gamma, \frac{5}{2} - \gamma, \frac{7}{2} - \gamma, \dots \end{cases} \quad (184)$$

Then, independent solutions turn to be infinite series. It means that as  $x$  goes to infinite, the function is divergent. Physically, this means nothing. However, mathematically it can be an answer. From a mathematical point of view, the finite series is considered as the special case of infinite series. But it is totally a wrong approach. First of all, we need get a condition what situation makes polynomial as solution, after that, we need to expand it as infinite series. Polynomial case is totally different from the infinite series case. Polynomial case is not the special case of infinite series, they are independent with each other. Lets now consider the case of infinite series. From the 1<sup>st</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric polynomial, let  $|\alpha_0| = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu}$  and  $|\alpha_1| = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2}$  in (68), (69a) and (69b) where  $|\alpha_0|, |\alpha_1| \neq 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then the series turns to the infinite series for the case of  $\lambda_1 = 0$ . Also, from the 2<sup>nd</sup> kind of grand confluent hypergeometric polynomial, let  $\psi_0 = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - 1 + \gamma$  and  $\psi_1 = -\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2} + \gamma$  in (151)-(153) where  $\psi_0, \psi_1 \neq 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Then the series turns to be the infinite series for the case of  $\lambda_2 = 1 - \nu$ . Also, we skip these solutions because of too much details.

## B. $\nu = \text{integer}$

The integral form of second independent solution for the case of  $\nu = \text{negative integer, } 0, \text{ and } 1$  is

$$g_2(x) = \mathcal{QW}(z) \int \frac{x^{-\nu} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x)}}{\mathcal{QW}^2(z)} dx \quad (185)$$

The (185) is called "The first kind integral formalism of the second independent solution of the grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu = \text{negative integer, } 0 \text{ and } 1$  for the case of infinite series." Also, the integral form of second independent solution for the case of  $\nu = \text{positive integer except } 1$  is

$$g_2(x) = \mathcal{RW}(z) \int \frac{x^{-\nu} e^{-(\frac{1}{2}\mu x^2 + \varepsilon x)}}{\mathcal{RW}^2(z)} dx \quad (186)$$

The (186) is called "The second kind integral formalism of the second independent solution of the grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu = \text{positive integer except } 1$  for the case of infinite series." (185) and (186) are inconvenient for direct calculation, as the integrand contains  $[\mathcal{QW}(z)]^{-2}$  and  $[\mathcal{RW}(z)]^{-2}$ . Now let's investigate (185) and (186) more precisely how these two analytic functions have power series. These two functions can be described by the Frobenius method.

### (A) the case of $\nu = 0$ or negative integer

Replace  $\psi_0$  and  $\psi_1$  by  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - 1 + \gamma$  and  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{3}{2} + \gamma$  in (172), and change the maximum index number  $n$  from  $\psi_0$  to  $\infty$  in it. Also, change maximum index number  $k$  from  $\psi_1 - n$  to  $\infty$ . Then we obtain the second independent solution of grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu = 0$  or negative integer for the case of infinite series.

**(B)the case of  $\nu = \text{positive integer except } 1$**

Replace  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1|$  by  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu}$  and  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2}$  in (178), and change the maximum index number  $n$  from  $|\alpha_0|$  to  $\infty$  in it. Also, change maximum index number  $k$  from  $|\alpha_1| - n$  to  $\infty$ . Then we get the second independent solution of grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu = \text{positive integer except } 1$  for the case of infinite series.

**(C)the case of  $\nu = 1$**

Replace  $|\alpha_0|$  and  $|\alpha_1|$  by  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu}$  and  $-\frac{\Omega}{2\mu} - \frac{1}{2}$  in (181), and Change the maximum index number  $n$  from  $|\alpha_0|$  to  $\infty$  in it. Also, change maximum index number  $k$  from  $|\alpha_1| - n$  to  $\infty$ . And we obtain the second independent solution of grand confluent hypergeometric function as  $\nu = 1$  for the case of infinite series.

Furthermore, we will publish soon for the first time how to solve mathematical equations having three term recursion relations and go on producing the exact solutions of some of the well known special function theories that include Mathieu, Heun, Lamé and the Grand Confluent Hypergeometric Function. We hope these new functions and their solutions will produce remarkable new range of applications not only in supersymmetric field theories as is shown here, but in the areas of all different classes of mathematical physics, applied mathematics and in engineering applications.

## REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>Catto, S. and Gürsey, F., “Algebraic treatment of effective supersymmetry” *Nuovo Cim.* **86A**, 201(1985).
- <sup>2</sup>Catto, S. and Gürsey, F., “New realizations of hadronic supersymmetry,” *Nuovo Cim.* **99A**, 685(1985).
- <sup>3</sup>Catto, S., “Scalar Mesons, Multiquark States and Supersymmetry” *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1030**, 184(2008).
- <sup>4</sup>Catto, S. and Choun, Y.S., “Supersymmetry in the Quark-Diquark and the Baryon-Meson Systems” *Acta Polytechnica* **51**(1), (2011).

- <sup>5</sup>Catto, S. and Choun, Y.S. and Kurt L., IX. International Workshop Lie Theory and Its Applications in Physics to be published in Springer Proceedings in Mathematics, edited by V.K. Dobrev, Springer (2012).
- <sup>6</sup>Günaydin, M. and Gürsey, F., “Quark structure and octonions” J. Math. Phys. **14**, 1651(1973).
- <sup>7</sup>Catto, S., Cheung, H. Y., Gurse, F., “Effective Hamiltonian of the relativistic Quark model” Mod. Phys. Lett. A **38**, 3485 (1991).